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Composition and Seasonal Variation of Rhipicephalus turanicus and Rhipicephalus sanguineus Bacterial Communities
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Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, The Robert H. Smith Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel; and Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, The Kuvikin Center for the Study of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Hadassah Medical School, The Institute for Medical Research-Canada, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

A 16S rRNA gene approach, including 454 pyrosequencing and quantitative PCR (qPCR), was used to describe the bacterial community in Rhipicephalus turanicus and to evaluate the dynamics of key bacterial tenants of adult ticks during the active questing season. The bacterial community structure of Rh. turanicus was characterized by high dominance of Coxiella and Rickettsia and extremely low taxonomic diversity. Parallel diagnostic PCR further revealed a novel Coxiella species which was present and numerically dominant in all individual ticks tested (n = 187). Coxiella sp. densities were significantly higher in female versus male ticks and were overall stable throughout the questing season. In addition, we revealed the presence of the novel Coxiella sp. in Rh. sanguineus adult ticks, eggs, and hatched larvae, indicating its vertical transmission. The presence of both spotted fever group Rickettsia spp. (SFGR) and non-SFGR was verified in the various individual ticks. The prevalence and density of Rickettsia spp. were very low compared to those of Coxiella sp. Furthermore, Rickettsia sp. densities were similar in males and females and significantly declined toward the end of the questing season. No correlation was found between Coxiella sp. and Rickettsia sp. densities. These results suggest different control mechanisms in the tick over its different bacterial populations and point to an obligatory and facultative association between the two tick species and Coxiella sp. and Rickettsia spp., respectively.

Many pestiferous arthropods carry obligatory and facultative bacterial symbionts, which fundamentally shape their biology and may determine their efficiency as disease vectors and their performance as pests (2, 35). Ticks are considered among the most important vectors of pathogens of medical and veterinary importance (10).

Incidence of arthropod-borne epidemics, such as the Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever transmitted by ticks (48), are expected to increase due to global climate changes and other drivers associated with modern life (reviewed in references 9, 21, and 28). Indeed, the prevalence of tick-borne pathogens such as Borrelia burgdorferi and Anaplasma phagocytophilum has been correlated with seasonal climatic changes (14). Therefore, it is expected that other microbial tenants within tick hosts are affected in the same manner.

In studies based on low-throughput techniques such as clone libraries and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), the bacterial communities of several ixodid tick species, among them Amblyomma americanum, Ixodes scapularis, and Ixodes ricinus, were found to be dominated by a single bacterial genus along with other less dominant but diverse bacterial species, such as Arsenophonus sp., Serratia grimesii, and Klebsiella oxytoca. While each individual tick usually showed relatively few bacteria, a higher diversity of bacterial taxa was found at the tick population level (4, 8, 23, 31, 39, 44). More recent studies based on high-throughput techniques have revealed higher bacterial diversity of individual ticks. However, to the best of our knowledge, a combined quantitative and qualitative assessment of tick bacterial communities has not been performed to date. Such a dual analysis might be important for the evaluation of dynamic interactions within bacterial populations (27, 50). Determining seasonal effects on an entire bacterial community within a tick vector might result in better resolution of host-bacterium interactions and of bacterium-bacterium interactions within the host. This approach could be used to develop future strategies for the prevention and control of tick-borne diseases.

In Israel, the brown dog tick, Rhipicephalus sanguineus (Acari: Ixodidae), is most common and is often found with a second sympatric species, Rhipicephalus turanicus (16, 30). While Rh. sanguineus is the known vector of Rickettsia conorii (9, 22), several other bacterial pathogens, such as Coxiella burnetii, Ehrlichia canis, and Anaplasma platus, have also been found in these two tick species, making them potential vectors (10, 20). In addition, Rh. sanguineus also harbors a Coxiella-like endosymbiont (34).

In this study, we adopted a 16S rRNA gene-based approach to describe the composition and dynamics of the bacterial communities in Rh. turanicus and Rh. sanguineus. PCR-DGGE and 454 pyrosequencing were used to describe the tick bacterial community, and quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays were used to follow the seasonal changes in bacterial populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tick collection and rearing. Questing ticks were collected by dragging a 1-m² white cotton cloth over the vegetation in two consecutive years. In 2009, ticks were collected in March and April from the outskirts of Kibbutz Hulda, Israel (31° 49′ 56.28″ N, 34° 53′ 0.24″ E) and in May from the sand dunes in Caesarea (32° 30′ 0″ N, 34° 54′ 0″ E). In 2010, ticks were collected weekly between March and July from the outskirts of Kibbutz Hulda. Collected ticks were immediately preserved in 100% ethanol and kept at −20°C until further use.
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In addition, several fully engorged female ticks were collected in 2010 from dogs located in a dog kennel (Rehovot, Israel [31° 53’ 38.03” N, 34° 48’ 59.04” E]). They were kept in a moistened plastic tube at 25°C until eggs were laid. A batch from each tick clutch was then surface sterilized in soapy water and 70% ethanol and preserved in 100% ethanol for DNA extraction. Most of the eggs were incubated until the larvae hatched. The newly hatched larvae were preserved in 100% ethanol for DNA extraction as described further on.

**Tick species classification.** Ticks were first classified as *R. sanguineus* or *R. turanicus* according to their morphological characteristics based on the work of Walker et al. (45).

To ascertain the identity of the collected ticks used in this study, a specific gene-based assay was developed. Partial fragments of the 12S mitochondrial rRNA gene were sequenced from 10 randomly selected ticks as previously described (3). Phylogenetic analysis (details below) showed that some of the ticks were closely related to *R. turanicus* and others to *R. sanguineus* (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Based on the aligned sequences, a new primer specific to *Rh. sanguineus* (SNR) (see Table S1) was designed and used together with the TIB forward primer to screen all collected ticks.

**Tick surface sterilization and DNA extraction.** For surface sterilization, alcohol-preserved ticks were vortexed for 1 min in 3% sodium hypochlorite followed by 70% ethanol and three washes in sterile 1× Dulbecco’s phosphate buffer saline (Lonza Group, Basel, Switzerland). The ticks were then air dried for 10 min before DNA extraction or stored in absolute ethanol at −20°C until further use. Extraction of total DNA from each individual tick was performed with the Qiagen blood and tissue extraction kit (Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with modifications: ticks were placed in a sterile petri dish with 1 ml of the supplied ATL buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and were cut into four pieces using a sterile scalpel blade. The tick pieces and the fluids were transferred to a sterile 1.5-ml tube containing 160 μl ATL buffer. New sterile petri dishes and scalpel blades were used for each tick. DNA concentration and purity were determined in a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). DNA samples were stored at −20°C until further analysis.

**PCR-DGGE of 16S rRNA.** DGGE was performed to compare bacterial community composition among individual ticks. DNA served as the template for PCR amplification with primer pairs 341f (with a GC clamp) and 519r targeting *Bacteria*, as previously described (32). PCR products were separated on a 6% polyacrylamide gel (1 mm thick) with a top-to-bottom gradient of urea and formamide (20% to 70%). Gels were run for 17 h at 100 V with heating (60°C) in a D-Code system (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, United Kingdom). Gels were then stained with GelStar nucleic acid gel stain (Biowhittaker Molecular Applications, Rockland, ME) and photographed on a UV transillumination table (302 nm) with a Kodak digital camera. A migration marker was added to each run to enable comparison of bacterial community patterns obtained from different gels. PCR-DGGE community patterns were aligned and analyzed using Fingerprinting II software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

**Cloning and sequencing of excised DGGE bands.** Specific selected bands were excised from the gels with a scalpel blade, placed in a 2-ml sterile tube containing 100 μl Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0), and incubated at 37°C for 2 h to facilitate diffusion of the DNA from the band into the solution; 1 μl of the band extract served as the template for PCR with the 341f–907r primer pair without GC-clamp tail. Positive products were cloned into the TOPO TA cloning vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and transformed into chemically competent *Escherichia coli* DH5α cells. Clones were examined by PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%) and by DGGE analysis. Plasmids containing the appropriate inserts (in comparison to the original sample and the excised band) were recovered using the Wizard Plus SV Miniprep DNA purification system (Promega, Madison, WI) and sequenced in an ABI PRISM 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) at the Alexander Silberman Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel.

**Mass sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragments.** To characterize the *Rh. turanicus* bacterial community, 36 representative samples, 17 male and 19 female ticks collected from Hulda in 2010, were sent to the Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock, TX) for mass-sequencing analysis. 16S rRNA bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing was performed using the Roche 454 sequencing system as previously described (12). Amplicons originating from the 530F–1100R (V4–V6) region, numbered in relation to the E. coli 16S rRNA gene, were sequenced. Retrieved sequences were analyzed using MOTHUR (40). Sequences shorter than 200 bp, as well as those of low quality, were omitted. Sequences were then aligned using the Silva-compatible alignment database, and a distance matrix was calculated (36). Sequences were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at a 97% sequence similarity threshold (i.e., sequences that differ by ≤3% are clustered in the same OTU). Representatives of each OTU were classified with the MOTHUR classify.seqs module, and affiliation, down to the genus level, was verified by the ARB program package (25), the Ribosomal Database Project (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) (46), and NCBI GenBank databases.

Based on the mass-sequencing data classification (genus-based OTU-level similarity), different diversity parameters were calculated. For each sample, the adequacy of the sampling effort was calculated using Good’s coverage estimate equation: C = 1 − (n/N), where n is the number of taxa represented by only a single clone sequence, and N is the total number of clone sequences in the specific library examined. The maximum value of C is 1 (18). The Shannon-Weaver index of diversity (*H*’), which combines species richness and relative abundance of bacterial species within a community, was calculated using the following equation: 

\[ H' = -\sum p_i \ln(p_i) \]

where *p* is the proportion of the *i*th clade in the clone library. The more diverse the sample, the higher the *H*’ value (42). Dominance (*D*), the estimation of the species relative abundance distribution in a sample, was calculated using the following equation: 

\[ D = \frac{\sum p_i^3}{\sum p_i^2} \]

A dominance of 1 represents a community composed with a single population. The Chao1 richness estimate, the number of expected different species in a community, was calculated according to Chao (7).

**PCR, primer design, and validation.** PCR was conducted in a T-gradient basic thermocycler (Biometra, Goettingen, Germany) in 0.2-ml polypropylene tubes. Each 50-μl reaction mixture contained 1.5 units of DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany), 1× DreamTaq buffer, 0.2 mM deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) mix (32), 0.25 μg/μl bovine serum albumin (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), and 0.5 μM each primer (see Table S1 in the supplemental material).

New primers used in this study were designed using the ARB probe design module (25). Specificity of the primers was verified in silico using ARB, RDP, and NCBI databases and checked against fully cloned nontarget 16S rRNA genes, including from *Rickettsia* and *Coxiella*, by PCR. PCR products of each newly designed primer pair were cloned, of which 10 randomly selected clones were sequenced as described above. Plasmid sequences were matched against the NCBI database. In all cases, the 10 clones gave the expected target organism result. The different primers used in this study and their respective reaction conditions are listed respectively in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material.

**Quantitative PCR.** SYBR green-based qPCR was used for the detection and quantification of *Rickettsia spp.* and *Coxiella sp.* in 128 Rh. turanicus DNA samples. In addition, the tick 18S rRNA gene was quantified and used as a reference gene for normalization of the data.

qPCR assays were conducted in polypropylene 96-well plates in a 7300 qPCR system (ABI, Applied Biosystems). Each 25-μl reaction mixture contained 1× Absolute Blue SYBR green ROX mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Surrey, United Kingdom), 1 μl of each 10 μM primer (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), 9.5 μl of H2O, and 1 μl of template DNA. The PCR conditions for each assay are listed in Table S2.

Each plate contained triplicate reaction mixtures for each DNA sample (representing an individual tick), the appropriate set of standards, and no-template controls. Melting curves were traced after each assay to con-
firm that the fluorescence signal had been retrieved from specific PCR products. PCR products were also examined using agarose gel electrophoresis to further confirm the specificity of the amplification. For all target genes, six 10-fold dilutions of the calibration standards were measurable down to 100 copies of the DNA target. The standard curve slopes, correlation coefficients, and amplification efficiencies were calculated using the 7300 system SDS software version 1.4 (ABI) and are listed in Table S3 in the supplemental material.

**Phylogenetic analyses.** *Coxiella* classification was based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence. Nine nearly full-length sequences of the 16S rRNA gene of *Coxiella* were obtained from five individual ticks. Sequences were aligned with known *Coxiella* symbionts as well as with *Coxiella burnetii*. The phylogenetic relationships between the different genotypes of *Rickettsia* identified in this study were determined based on the sequences of two known genes commonly used for *Rickettsia* classification: “gene D,” encoding a 17-kDa cell surface antigen, and the *ompA* gene, encoding outer membrane protein A (15, 41). Molecular classification of ticks was based on mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene sequences (3). Several clones from each gene of interest were sequenced at least twice. Maximum likelihood trees based on Kimura’s 2-parameter model were constructed using molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) 5.10 software (http://www.megasoftware.net). Sequences were aligned using Muscle algorithm, and bootstrap analyses with 1,000 resamplings were performed to test the robustness of the branching. Similar phylogenic trees were obtained with neighbor-joining trees based on the ClustalW alignment algorithm.

**Statistical analyses.** Differences in diversity indices of the communities composition, based on pyrosequencing data, were determined by Mann-Whitney U test. The effects of season and tick sex on bacterial abundance were tested by Kruskal-Wallis test, and the critical range ($P < 0.05$) was determined. All statistical calculations were performed with STATISTICA (version 7.1) software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK).

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** Sequences have been deposited in the NCBI GenBank SRA database under accession no. SRA049091. Accession numbers for bands shown in Fig. 1 are as follows (*Coxiella* [C] or *Rickettsia* [R]): R1, JQ480832; C1, JQ480828; R2, JQ480831; R3, JQ480829; R4, JQ480830; and C2, JQ480827.

### RESULTS

**Tick classification.** DNA extracted from ticks collected in 2009 was used for the PCR-DGGE analysis (Fig. 1). The DNA extracted from ticks collected in 2010 was used for qPCR and for 454-pyrosequencing analyses. A total of 187 ticks were collected and classified based on analysis of the 12S ribosomal rRNA gene (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). All ticks collected from Hulda were classified as *Rh. turanicus*. Ticks collected from Caesarea were classified as *Rh. sanguineus* or *Rh. turanicus*. In addition, the five engorged females collected from dogs were classified as *Rh. sanguineus*.

**Bacterial community composition in *Rh. turanicus* and *Rh. sanguineus*.** Composition of bacterial communities was determined for 22 individual ticks collected in 2009 using PCR-DGGE of 16S rRNA gene fragments. Cluster analysis comparison of band migration patterning revealed that the bacterial community composition varied among individuals and was not related to site of collection or tick species (Fig. 1). Although sample size is quite small, cluster A was mostly composed of female ticks, and cluster B was mostly composed of males. The main clusters of PCR-DGGE patterns were determined according to the presence or absence of one of three dominant bands. These bands were identified as either *Rickettsia* spp. or *Coxiella* sp. Based on their migration distances, at least two different genotypes of *Rickettsia* and of *Coxiella* were detected in the different tick individuals. Furthermore, markedly less dominant but diverse populations were also
found, showing variations in richness and composition among individual ticks (Fig. 1).

**Bacterial diversity in field-collected *Rh. turanicus*.** The above results suggested that the bacterial community of *Rh. turanicus* and *Rh. sanguineus* is dominated by *Coxiella* sp. and *Rickettsia* spp., with other rare, albeit diverse bacterial taxa. To further describe the bacterial community of *Rh. turanicus*, 454 pyrosequencing was performed for ticks collected in 2010. A total of 155,365 high-quality bacterial 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences from 36 individual ticks were obtained and analyzed. These sequences were assigned into 1,466 OTUs at a 97% sequence similarity threshold. According to ARB-SILVA database analysis, most OTUs were assigned into 1,466 OTUs at a 97% sequence similarity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Reads</th>
<th>Genera</th>
<th>Chao1</th>
<th>Shannon H'</th>
<th>Dominance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>24,531</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April</td>
<td>14,084</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>14,498</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>24,362</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>13,717</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April</td>
<td>35,437</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>12,943</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>15,786</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Average values calculated for individual ticks.

*b* Chao1 taxon richness estimate (7), calculated based on genus classification.

*c* Shannon index of diversity H' = −Σpi ln(pi); pi is the relative abundance of the ith genus.

*d* Dominance D = Σ(pi)^2.

**TABLE 2** Relative abundance of *Rickettsia* and *Coxiella* based on mass sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rickettsia</td>
<td><em>Coxiella</em></td>
<td>96.74</td>
<td>94.22</td>
<td>95.55</td>
<td>93.55</td>
<td>73.16</td>
<td>50.34</td>
<td>72.61</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rickettsia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>23.72</td>
<td>49.49</td>
<td>26.97</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rickettsia*-positive ticks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Rickettsia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* The average number of sequences obtained divided by the total sequences of the appropriate group is presented for *Rickettsia*-positive and *Rickettsia*-negative samples. NA, no ticks collected for that group.

**TABLE 3** Prevalence of rare bacterial taxa (phyla and next dominant taxa) in female and male *Rh. turanicus* ticks and the total number of sequences obtained using 454 pyrosequencing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>No. of sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td><em>Propionibacter</em></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaproteobacteria</td>
<td><em>Ralstonia</em></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td><em>Serratia</em></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammaphasobacteria</td>
<td><em>Parabacteroides</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionibacter</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncultured Lachnospiraecae</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Burkholderia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Comamonadaceae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Female *Rh. turanicus* ticks, n = 19; male *Rh. turanicus* ticks, n = 17.

**TABLE 3** Prevalence of rare bacterial taxa (phyla and next dominant taxa) in female and male *Rh. turanicus* ticks and the total number of sequences obtained using 454 pyrosequencing

**TABLE 1** Richness and diversity estimates of individual tick bacterial communities based on mass sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragments

**A novel *Coxiella* sp. is found in *Rh. turanicus* and *Rh. sanguineus*.** The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the *Coxiella* sp. found in the selected ticks differed from other published sequences, suggesting the presence of another *Coxiella* genotype in the tested ticks (Fig. 2).

**Based on the obtained sequences, species-specific primers were designed to target the specific *Coxiella* sp. from field-collected ticks,** which appeared in 12, 7, 6, and 6 individual ticks, respectively. Altogether, these rare taxa were represented by 72 genus-level OTUs, explaining 0.45% of the total sequences obtained (700 sequences). These rare taxa appeared mainly in males rather than females (Table 3).
ticks. All 187 individual Rh. turanicus and 15 Rh. sanguineus ticks were positive for the Coxiella sp. Eggs and the corresponding larvae of engorged Rh. sanguineus were also positive for the Coxiella sp. Rickettsia spp. in Rh. turanicus. Using group-specific primers targeting most known rickettsiae, 26% of the ticks tested (49/187) were found positive. Similar percentages of rickettsial infection were found for both male and female ticks. In addition, sequences obtained from the DGGE analysis suggested that more than one rickettsial genotype is harbored by Rh. turanicus ticks: 36 of the 49 ticks were positive for both “gene D” and ompA, suggesting a spotted fever group (SFG) Rickettsia, and the other 13 were negative for both, suggesting bellii-like Rickettsia. Phylogenetic classification based on fragments of “gene D” (Fig. 3A) showed that the obtained sequences were most closely related to R. massiliae and R. barbariae of the SFG Rickettsia. Phylogenetic classification using ompA gave similar results (Fig. 3B).

**Coxiella and Rickettsia dynamics in Rh. turanicus.** The densities of the Coxiella sp. and Rickettsia sp. were determined by qPCR in 60 Rh. turanicus males and 68 females. The density of Coxiella sp. was significantly higher in females than in males in each of the months tested, by an average of 1 order of magnitude (Kruskal-Wallis, P < 0.05) (Fig. 4A). During the seasons, Coxiella sp. densities in females increased slightly from March to April and declined 2-fold from April to June. These fluctuations, however, were not significant. Males showed markedly less seasonal variation in Coxiella sp. densities. In addition, Coxiella densities were similar in Rickettsia-positive and Rickettsia-negative ticks in both sexes.

The densities of the Rickettsia population were not affected by tick sex (Kruskal-Wallis, P > 0.05) but were affected by the month of collection (Kruskal-Wallis, P < 0.001) (Fig. 4B). Rickettsia densities increased slightly between March and April but were significantly reduced between April and June, by over 7-fold (Kruskal-Wallis followed by multiple comparison, P < 0.001). In addition, during a season, Coxiella sp. densities were 5-fold higher than Rickettsia densities in females but 2-fold lower in males.

**DISCUSSION**

As obligatory blood feeders, ticks are expected to present a relatively simple and restricted system for studying microbial diversity and interactions. Questing adult ticks may fast for long periods and can thus be considered a closed system in which bacterial interactions are constrained.

**FIG 2** Phylogenetic tree of Coxiella symbionts based on partial 16S rRNA gene sequences. Maximum likelihood trees based on Kimura 2-parameter model were constructed using MEGA software (version 5.10). Bootstrap analyses with 1,000 resamplings were performed to test the robustness of the branching. Bootstrap values higher than 75% are indicated. Sequences obtained in the present work are designated by an asterisk and by tick and clone number in parentheses.

**FIG 3** Phylogenetic tree of Rickettsia symbionts based on sequences of “gene D” (A) and ompA (B). Maximum likelihood trees based on Kimura 2-parameter model were constructed using MEGA software (version 5.10). Bootstrap analyses with 1,000 resamplings were performed to test the robustness of the branching. Bootstrap values higher than 75% are indicated. Sequences obtained in the present work are designated by an asterisk and by tick and clone number in parentheses.

**FIG 4** Seasonal change (mean + standard deviation [SD]) of Coxiella sp. densities (A) and Rickettsia sp. densities (B) in individual field-collected ticks as determined by qPCR. Densities were determined as targets of 16S rRNA gene per targets of tick 18S rRNA gene. Dark gray bars, females; light gray bars, males. Letters above columns denote between-month statistical significance at P < 0.05.
interactions can occur between autochthonous populations. The bacterial community of *Rh. turanicus*, showing average relative abundance values of 89.5% for a single genus (*Coxiella*) and 9.6% for another single genus (*Rickettsia*), represents one of the simplest fully described systems involving associations between arthropods and bacteria. This simple bacterial system is expected in specialist arthropods as opposed to generalists (11), although a more diverse bacterial community in *Rh. turanicus* might be expected due to its interactions with animal hosts while feeding. Our findings in *Rh. turanicus* are in agreement with previous findings for other tick species. For example, in *A. americanum*, *Coxiella* appeared in 89% of the sequences obtained based on clone libraries (8), and in the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, *Coxiella* appeared in 98.2% of the sequences obtained from the female ovaries. In the female unfed cattle ticks, however, *Coxiella* was found in only 2.8% of the sequences (1). Experimental setup, choice of primers, use of different sequence alignment algorithms, and OTU classification methods may result in significant variation in the resulting estimates of diversity parameters (13, 49). Such variations in data acquisition and analysis should be considered when comparing different studies.

The high frequency of the *Coxiella* sp. in all ticks tested in the current study together with its high dominance in each individual tick may suggest that this *Coxiella* sp. sustains an obligatory association with its tick hosts. In support of this assumption are the finding of *Coxiella* sp. in adult laboratory-reared *Rh. sanguineus* ticks (34) and our identification of *Coxiella* sp. in eggs and larvae of *Rh. sanguineus*. The latter suggests vertical transmission of *Coxiella* in *Rh. sanguineus* and may explain the high frequency of *Coxiella* in the tick populations. Although not tested in this study, we hypothesize that the same mechanism holds true for *Rh. turanicus*. The identification of other *Coxiella*-like symbionts in *Amblyomma cajennense* and *A. americanum* (8, 24, 27) further supports the hypothesis that these bacteria are ubiquitous in various tick species, although they were not found in *I. ricinus* (6).

The major secondary symbionts identified were of the genus *Rickettsia*, which are known as secondary symbionts from several systems where they affect their host in various ways (reviewed in reference 19). Here we found *Rickettsia* in 26% of the ticks tested. *Rickettsia* comprised less than 10% of the bacterial community in females and up to 50% in males, and its density was significantly lower than that of *Coxiella* sp. These findings support a facultative association between *Rickettsia* and the tick. Unlike our findings for *Coxiella*, the average densities of *Rickettsia* were similar in males and females and decreased significantly toward the end of the season. Although an opposite trend was found in the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, where the primary symbiont *Buchnera aphidicola* is suppressed in the presence of *Rickettsia* (38), starving ticks may benefit from reduction of facultative tenants to sustain the obligatory ones.

Several factors have been proposed to explain the low frequency or abundance of either primary or secondary symbionts. Among them are competition among symbionts, increased virulence, and bottlenecks experienced by symbionts during vertical transmission (29). Based on the relative abundance of *Coxiella* sp. and *Rickettsia* sp., a competitive interaction between the two populations could be suggested in males. However, the quantitative measurements (absolute abundances) suggest that there is no relationship between these populations: *Coxiella* sp. densities varied between males and females independent of the presence or abundance of *Rickettsia* sp. and were significantly lower in males than in females. Tick regulation of higher *Coxiella* densities might explain the reduced densities of *Rickettsia*. Alternatively, competition among the *Rickettsia* populations found here (two SFG and one non-SFG *Rickettsia* populations) might govern their low densities and prevalence. In *Dermacentor andersoni*, massive occurrence of *R. peacockii* in the tick ovaries was suggested to prevent transovarial transmission of *R. rickettsii* (5, 33). This phenomenon was also found in *D. variabilis* (26). In addition, different bacterial physiological characteristics may govern dynamics and interaction patterns. SFG *Rickettsia* for example, has the capacity for actin-based motility (17), whereas this ability is not yet known for *Coxiella*-like symbionts. The lowest values of *Rickettsia* densities were recorded in ticks that harbored the non-SFG *Rickettsia*: this might be indirect evidence of competition within the *Rickettsia* populations. In addition to intrinsic factors, extrinsic factors that relate to climate variation may also affect the composition of arthropod bacterial communities, as shown in the present work.

Along with the dominant *Coxiella* and *Rickettsia*, highly diverse but rare bacteria, represented by a few sequences, were also identified. These bacteria included *Propionibacter*, *Serratia*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Ralstonia* species. Such bacteria are best known as gut inhabitants in other arthropods (11, 47) and have also been detected in other tick species (1, 6). Although numerically rare, the prevalence among individuals was relatively high; for example, 33% in the case of *Propionibacter*. Thus, the assemblage of rare bacteria might have been underestimated due to PCR bias, especially in a system typified by a high-dominance structure. The contribution of these bacteria to the tick has yet to be elucidated in consideration with the hologenome theory of evolution (37), suggesting that these rare bacteria may be an important source for new genes and may thrive under the right conditions.

In conclusion, the bacterial community of questing *Rh. turanicus* ticks described in this work was characterized by extremely low bacterial diversity dominated by a novel *Coxiella* sp. and showed seasonal and sexual variation. Our results support an obligatory relationship between *Coxiella* and its tick host which is influenced by seasonal changes.
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